



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		93198-000199	8620	
09/869,719	06/29/2001	Chiyoaki Iijima	93193-000177		
7590 07/09/2003  Harness Dickey & Pierce PO Box 828 Bllomfield Hills, MI 48303			EXAMINER		
			STEVENSON, ANDRE C		
Bilomneia rii	ns, wii 48505		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2812		
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				B			
	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
•	09/869,719		IIJIMA, CHIYOAK	1			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Andre' C. Stever	nson	2812				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover	sheet with the d	orrespondence ad	dress			
naminal for Donly							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI				lod			
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the beconsidered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Status</li> </ul>	days, a reply within the tory period will apply a	statutory minimum nd will expire SIX (6	of thirty (30) days will 3) MONTHS from the m	ailing date of this			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·						
2b) 2	This action is non-	final.	# <del></del>	the morite is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	wance except for ler Ex parte Quayl	formal matters, e, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	(He ments is			
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applic	ation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consid	leration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,20,22,26,28,30,32 and 36</u> is/are	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,20,22,26,28,30,32 and 36</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) 24 is/are objected to.							
8) Claims are subject to restriction and	d/or election requi	rement.					
Application Papers							
The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Exan	niner.					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)∐ ap <sub>l</sub>	oroved b) dis	sapproved.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			10(-) (4)				
13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(u).	· ·			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of the CEI	RTIFIED copies of	the priority dod	cuments have been				
<ul><li>1.⊠ received.</li><li>2.□ received in Application No. (Series</li></ul>	Code / Serial Nur	nber)					
2. received in Application No. (Series	lication from the Ir	ternational Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).			
<ul> <li>3. received in Application (control (co</li></ul>							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	A HOCOL GIO GOLANIO	under 35 11 S C	& 119(e).				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for	aomestic priority t	muer 33 0.3.0.					
Attachment(s)		a) [] Interview S	ummary (PTO-413) Pa	per No(s)			
<ul> <li>15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-917) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper</li> </ul>	948)	8) Interview S 9) Notice of In 20) Other:	formal Patent Applicati	on (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2812

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09869719, filed on June 29, 2001.

Claims 1 through 3, 4 through15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, 34, & 35 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 8 (05/19/03).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16, 20, 22, 26, 28, 30, 32 & 36, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Motomura et al (U.S. Pat. No.6456347 B1), and further in view of Itoh et al (U.S. Pat. No.5841496).

Art Unit: 2812

Motomura et al (U.S. Pat. No.6456347 B1), Claim #16 & 36, a transflective liquid crystal device comprising: a first transparent substrate; a second transparent substrate opposed to the first substrate; a liquid crystal held between the first and second substrates; a light source provided on a side of the first substrate, which is opposite to the liquid crystal side thereof; a transflective electrode layer arranged on the first substrate opposite to the second substrate; a polarizer provided on a side of the second substrate, which is opposite to a first substrate side thereof; a first retardation plate arranged between the polarizer and the second substrate; and a second retardation plate arranged between the polarizer and the first retardation plate (column 4, lines 52 through 67, column 7 lines 61 through 67, column 8 lines 1 through 5); wherein a twist angle of the liquid crystal is 230 to 260 degrees; a minimum and maximum  $\Delta nd$  (product of optical anisotropy  $\Delta n$  and thickness d) of the liquid crystal are 0.85  $\mu m$  or less and 0.70  $\mu m$  or more, respectively;  $\Delta nd$  of the first retardation plate is 150  $\pm$  50 nm;  $\Delta$ nd of the second retardation plate is 610  $\pm$  60 nm; an angle  $\theta_{\text{1}}$  formed by a transmission axis or absorption axis of the polarizer and an optical axis of the second retardation plate is 10 to 35 degrees; and an angle  $\theta_{\text{1}}$ formed by an optical axis of the first retardation plate and the optical axis of the second retardation plate is 30 to 60 degrees, (column 8, lines 6 through 16).

Motomura et al (U.S. Pat. No.6456347 B1) discloses the claimed invention except for a twist angle of the liquid crystal is 230 to 260 degrees; a minimum and

Art Unit: 2812

Page 4

maximum  $\Delta$ nd (product of optical anisotropy  $\Delta$ n and thickness d) of the liquid crystal are 0.85 µm or less and 0.70 µm or more, respectively;  $\Delta$ nd of the first retardation plate is 150 ± 50 nm; And of the second retardation plate is 610 ± 60 nm. Itoh et al (U.S. Pat. No.5841496) teaches that it is known to obtaining an optical characteristic trace of the combination of said first layer.

Furthermore, **Claim #16**, a twist angle of the liquid crystal is 230 to 260 degrees; a minimum and maximum  $\Delta$ nd (product of optical anisotropy  $\Delta$ n and thickness d) of the liquid crystal are 0.85 µm or less and 0.70 µm or more, respectively;  $\Delta$ nd of the first retardation plate is 150 ± 50 nm; And of the second retardation plate is 610 ± 60 nm, is taught by Itoh et al (U.S. Pat. No.5841496) (column 6, lines 35 through 42, column 34 lines 39 through 46, column 37 lines 14 through 19).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a twist angle of the liquid crystal is 230 to 260 degrees; a minimum and maximum  $\Delta$ nd (product of optical anisotropy  $\Delta$ n and thickness d) of the liquid crystal are 0.85  $\mu$ m or less and 0.70  $\mu$ m or more, respectively;  $\Delta$ nd of the first retardation plate is 150  $\pm$  50 nm; And of the second retardation plate is 610  $\pm$  60 nm as taught by Itoh et al (U.S. Pat. No.5841496), since Itoh et al (U.S. Pat. No.5841496) states at column 6, lines 54 through 67, column 6, lines 35 through 42, column 34 lines 39 through 46, column 37 lines 14 through 19 that such a modification would allow the transmission spectrum in the normal line direction of the substrate plane.

Art Unit: 2812

Page 5

With respect to Claim #20, a transflective liquid crystal device according to Claim 16, further comprising a color filter provided on the liquid crystal side of the first or second substrate, is taught by Motomura et al (U.S. Pat. No.6456347 B1) (Column 3, lines 61 through 67, column 4 lines 1 through 6, lines 38 through 51).

Furthermore, **Claim #22**, a method wherein measuring combination of layer and plurality of features using scatterometry tool, determining a thickness of a second layer, depositing second layer of material, is taught by Motomura et al (U.S. Pat. No.6456347 B1) (column 2, lines 4 through 27).

Considering now Claim #26, a transflective liquid crystal device according to Claim 16, wherein the transflective electrode layer has a laminated structure comprising a transflective film, a transparent insulating film arranged on the transflective film, and a transparent electrode arranged on the insulating film, is taught by Motomura et al (U.S. Pat. No.6456347 B1) (column 3, lines 49 through 58).

With respect to **Claim #28**, a transflective liquid crystal device according to Claim 16, wherein a passive matrix driving system in a normally black mode is used, is taught by Motomura et al (U.S. Pat. No.6456347 B1) (Fig. 3, Column 3, lines 61 through 67, Column 4, lines 1 through 8).

Art Unit: 2812

Page 6

Furthermore, Claim #30, a transflective liquid crystal device according to Claim 16, further comprising: another polarizer arranged between the first substrate and the light source; and another retardation plate arranged between the first substrate and the polarizer, is taught by Motomura et al (U.S. Pat. No.6456347 B1) (Abstract Fig. 7 & 3, Column 6, lines 50 through 67, Column 7, lines 1 through 11).

### **Objected Claims**

Claim #24 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Claim #24

 $\checkmark$  The silt has a width of 3 to 20  $\mu$ m.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre' Stevenson whose telephone number is (703) 308 6227. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling, can be reached on (703) 308 3325. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308 7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0956.

Andre' Stevenson

Art Unit 2812

06/25/03

**Sohn** F. Niebling

Supervisory Patent Exeminer Technology Center 2800